SoundSoftware Code Site - Feature #14 tags for projects

2010-10-01 05:39 PM - Chris Cannam

Status:NewStart date:2011-11-11Priority:UrgentDue date:Assignee:Luis Figueira% Done:85%Category:Estimated time:0.00 hour

Description

The ability to associate free tags (e.g. "vamp") with projects would be handy.

And some ability to visualise and browse them.

There is a "tagging plugin" in the Redmine plugins list, but it's not clear whether you can use this for tagging projects themselves (the summary mentions tagging issues and wiki pages).

Subtasks:

oubtacker.	
Bug # 321: Hiding "My Projects" only useful if hidden state is persistent	Closed
Bug # 322: Pagination broken in projects list	Closed
Bug # 323: Filtering does not work in test sites	Closed
Bug # 324: Filter "widget" needs to be closer to project table	Closed
Bug # 325: Text in My Projects list too small	Closed
Bug # 327: Edits ignored in tag edit field while autocomplete menu visible	New
Bug # 331: Not apparent how to remove tag filters	Closed
Bug # 332: Textual search ignored if tags present in filter	Closed
Bug # 330: Tag autocomplete in project filter area should _not_ have Add New	Feedback
Feature # 336: My projects should also list their tags	Closed
Bug # 337: Pagination links disappear when list refreshed after search	Closed
Bug # 338: Project filter yielding no results does not update results list	Closed
Bug # 339: Changing tags in filter box should regenerate results list immediately	Closed
Bug # 340: Textual search matches not highlighted in description	Closed
Bug # 341: Clicking on a tag does not show tag in filter area	In Progress
Feature # 343: Need helpful line of text under Tags field in Settings	Closed
Bug # 365: Tag autocomplete broken on New Project page	Closed
Bug # 352: Cannot add tags on New Project Page	Closed
Feature # 459: Warn project managers that their project is untagged!	New
Bug # 559: Autocomplete not working when creating a new project	Rejected

History

#1 - 2010-12-01 09:23 AM - Chris Cannam

- Assignee set to Luis Figueira

#2 - 2010-12-14 12:14 PM - Chris Cannam

- Priority changed from Normal to High

#3 - 2011-08-12 04:34 PM - Chris Cannam

Some notes on tag representation in the database:

http://www.pui.ch/phred/archives/2005/04/tags-database-schemas.html http://www.pui.ch/phred/archives/2005/06/tagsystems-performance-tests.html

2024-05-08 1/3

http://stackoverflow.com/questions/334183/what-is-the-most-efficient-way-to-store-tags-in-a-database http://stackoverflow.com/questions/20856/how-do-you-recommend-implementing-tags-or-tagging/20871#20871

#4 - 2011-08-13 11:09 AM - Chris Cannam

The third representation mentioned in the article at http://www.pui.ch/phred/archives/2005/04/tags-database-schemas.html (referred to as the "Toxi" schema) seems probably the most appropriate.

Note that this schema, which uses a separate tag-labels table and a join table to connect tags to objects, allows you to use the same tag vocabulary with more than one sort of object (e.g. with projects and issues) if you want to.

This suggests that we should take another look at the plugins that already exist for tagging, because even if a plugin has only been designed to permit tagging issues (say), it might still turn out to have a schema suitable for tagging other objects.

#5 - 2011-08-15 12:32 PM - Chris Cannam

And indeed, https://github.com/ixti/redmine_tags/ appears to provide in the basic design for tags to be applied to objects of any model.

#6 - 2011-08-15 03:02 PM - Chris Cannam

... using http://agilewebdevelopment.com/plugins/acts as taggable on

#7 - 2011-08-15 03:21 PM - Chris Cannam

Hm, one problem with a completely generic tagging implementation like this -- it has to record the taggable class in the db for every taggable-tag relation added.

e.g. I install the redmine_tags plugin and add two tags to one issue ("thin", "thick") and one tag to another ("thin"). The relevant db tables subsequently contain:

```
mysql> select * from tags;
+----+
| id | name |
+----+
| 1 | thick |
| 2 | thin |
+----+
2 rows in set (0.00 sec)
mysql> select * from taggings;
| id | tag_id | taggable_id | tagger_id | tagger_type | taggable_type | context | created_at
+---+
| 1 | 1 |
          4 |
              NULL | NULL | Issue
                                 | tags | 2011-08-15 15:10:28 |
    2 |
              NULL | NULL | Issue
                                 | tags | 2011-08-15 15:10:28 |
| 2 |
          4 |
| 3 | 2 |
          3 | NULL | NULL | Issue
                                 | tags | 2011-08-15 15:16:22 |
+---+
3 rows in set (0.00 sec)
```

2024-05-08 2/3

That's a hell of a lot of data for three tags, far more than the alternative model in which we have a dedicated join table for each taggable type. That model would give us e.g. an issue_tags table containing something like



which is far smaller than the above, but which would require a separate table for each taggable type (i.e. statically limited set of taggables).

2024-05-08 3/3